#### Implementing IPv6: experiences at KAME project

Jun-ichiro Hagino, IIJ research laboratory
 itojun@{iijlab.net,kame.net}

## Outline

□What is IPv6, and why IPv6 (brief summary)

What is KAME projectSome technical insights observed at KAME

Implmentation statusWhat are the TODOs, issues from both specification/implementation

# What is IPv6?

Expansion of address space - 32bit -> 128bit
 32bit: 4.3 billion nodes maximum

 not sufficient, blocking new IP-based applications from appearing
 128bit: 3.4 x 10^38 nodes maximum

 Make new technologies mandatory

 IPv4: designed in 1970's
 IPv6: designed in 1990's
 autoconfiguration, multicast, security, ...

Why? - IPv4 address space is filled up, NAT is killing us all
When? - Already there, so you should
How? - (next slide)

### How to operate IPv6?

□ IPv6 is "IP with bigger address space", almost no difference with IPv4 ○bigger address space makes a huge difference

□Base spec

ONAT-free 128bit address, simpler base header, extensible header format

OEnables many future application deployment and uses

□Routing - OSPFv3, RIPng, BGP4+

□QoS - diffserv, RSVP (separate effort from IPv6 itself)

omore friendly than IPv4

□ Mobility - mobile-ip6

○No foreign agent necessary

□ Security - IPsec (separate effort from IPv6 itself)

○A "fully conformant IPv6 implementation" must have IPsec code □Autoconfiguration

Ostateless autoconf, DHCPv6

□ Multicast - PIM, MLD (= IGMP)

□ Applications - HTTP, FTP, VoIP, whatever you do with IPv4

ONew applications would appear when IPv6 hits the critical mass

## Problem we had in 1995...

□ IPv6 specification is out, but there's no codebase/testbed

No codebase" means "high deployment hurdle"
 IPv4 was deployed because it was bundled into BSD and other operating systems
 BSD served as the reference codebase for others

 $\odot$ With IPv6, only spec is there, no code

We don't really know if the spec will work fine or not
 Need to check if specification works right, by actual operational experiences

□Our answer:

WIDE IPv6 backbone: IPv6 network operation, with real daily traffic
 KAME project: IPv6 reference implementation for BSDs

## WIDE IPv6 backbone

WIDE operates nationwide IPv6 backbone since 1996/06/09
 We started with native, not tunnel!

WIDE operates Gbps-class IPv6 backbone, will start operating 10Gbps nationwide backbone

Days with tunnel/experiment/testbed is already over, IPv6 is for daily use for many people in WIDE and outside of WIDE

Dr Hiroshi Esaki's presentation (yesterday) covered more details

# **KAME Project goals**

Implement IPv6, IPsec, and whatever interests us onto \*BSD
 Redistribute under BSD license

OResearch reference, education, and deployment

Consortium of universities and companies
 Keio-U, U-Tokyo, Fujitsu, Hitachi, IIJ, NEC, Toshiba, Yokogawa
 10 core implementers, and number of supporting casts

```
□ April 1998 - March 2004 (extension?)
```

Supported platforms
 OpenBSD, NetBSD, FreeBSD, BSD/OS

Many commercial router/OS vendors are using our code
 Juniper, Extreme Networks, IIJ, Fujitsu, Hitachi, ...
 Apple MacOS X, BSD/OS, VxWorks...

□ Active feedback to IETF specifications

## **KAME IPv6 code**

□ Rock stable, has been available since 1997

○IPv6 operational experience in WIDE research community

○(torture-test)

□ High coverage of specs

omore than IPv6/IPsec 40 RFCs

□Ultra spec-conformant

○Conformance tested by TAHI verification team

□ Ready for daily use (we actually are using it every day)

○Everything is IPv6 ready

□ Highly integrated

○\*BSD integration - IPv6 ready from boot floppy!

□Heavily documented and publically available source code

OGood for learning, testing, and deployment

### other advanced/experimental items

ALTQ: alternate queueing framework

for diffserv/traffic management

mobile-ip6
multicast DNS lookup
icmp6 name lookup
IPv6 DNS lookups - EDNS0 considerations
application supports

Mozilla, apache, ssh, perl, python, everything you want

IPv6 PPP, NFS and NIS (NetBSD integration)
DHCPv6 prefix delegation

### **KAME experiences and enhancements**

□ Extension for scoped address format

Denial-of-service by extension header chain

□(In)security of some of IPv6 specs

Therer were many more issues we have facedrefer to other papers/internet-drafts from us

We provided feedbacks to IETF specifications already
 As updates to existing i-d/rfc, or independent i-d/rfc

#### **Extension for scoped address format**

IPv6 introduced "scoped address"
 ounicast: Link-local, site-local
 omulticast: 15 scopes

Scoped address must come with scope identifier
 They are ambiguous if we only have 128bit specified
 We need a common notation!

□fe80::1234:5432:abcd:ef00%ether0

getaddrinfo/getnameinfo can support it cleanly
 getaddrinfo fills in sin6\_addr + sin6\_scope\_id
 getnameinfo converts sin6\_addr + sin6\_scope\_id into string

fe80::1 --- my machine --- fe80::1

#### **Denial-of-service by extension header chain**

IPv6 employs the idea of "extension header chain"
 Many extension headers can be attached to a packet

There's no upper limit to the number of extension headers!
 Ospecwise, there's no limitation at all

□KAME strategy:

Design function call tree so that there's no kernel stack overflow
 Limit number of extension headers acceptable (tunable)

# (In)security of some of IPv6 specs

#### □ Some of IPv6 specs talks conflicting thing

- So many tunnelling specifications, with different definitions inbound processing gets hairy
- Use of special addresses (IPv4 mapped) impose more work to third-party userland programs, insecure behavior by defualt
- Issues with translators tend to be configured as an open relay, help bad guys mistakenly

#### □ Solution: careful implementation, feedback to specs

- ODiagnose each specs and implement those make sense only
- OPut enough warnings to users
- OCareful restrictions/tweaks into API, feedback to specs

#### □KAME case:

- O6to4 is not enabled by default
- ○IPv4 mapped address (inbound) is not enabled by default, or not supported at all
- ○IPv4 mapped address (outbound) is supported only in some cases
- Filter out some of misconfigured DNS database entries

### **Towards the real deployment**

□ Host OS, Router OS are all ready by now

°\*BSD, Solaris, Linux, whatever

OCisco, Juniper, Extreme, NEC, Fujitsu whatever

 $\Box$  It is just a matter of configuration to enable it

□ Education is the key

• Educate ISP operators

OEducate university operators

OEducate end users (may not be necessary, as IPv6 is hidden in the very bottom)

#### □ Applications readiness

OUpgrade application

OUpgrade library (if library API is address-family neutral)

□Convince your boss, configure it and use it!

# **Deployment of WIDE IPv6 network**

□1996 - started with 64k leased lines

 $^{\odot}\mbox{We}$  are trying to avoid tunnels from day one

□1997 - steal bandwidth from IPv4 backbone (ATM pipes)

- □ 1998 JB: nationwide ATM research network, funded by government ○With IPv6 we can get circuits cheap :-P
- 2000 200 to 300Mbps class IPv6 native backbone, nationwide
- 2002 Gbps-class native backbone, nationwide

□ Researchers have trouble with tight IPv4 address allocation policies □ IPv6 is the solution for them!

 30Mbps digital video multicast traffic, for remote classrooms (Wisconsin -Yokohama)

OMP3 audio over multicast + IPsec

Oxcast (small group multicast)

○IPv4/v6 over satellite medium

Odiffserv experiments/operations

Of course, routing experiments/operations - OSPFv3

# Summary

□What is IPv6, and why IPv6 (brief summary)

What is KAME projectSome technical insights observed at KAME

Implementation status
 What are the TODOs, issues from both specification/implementation
 OIPv6 is ready for everyone in every domain, so just configure it and use it!

□http://www.kame.net/